MEASURE E BOND BUILDING FUND OF BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

FINANCIAL AUDIT REPORT

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011



MEASURE E BOND BUILDING FUND OF BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT AUDIT REPORT For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011 Table of Contents

<u>Page</u>

Introduction and Citizens'	Oversight O	Committee Member	Listing	1

FINANCIAL SECTION

Balance Sheet	.4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	. 5
Notes to Financial Statements	. 6

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SECTION

Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report with Audited Financial	
Statements	14

OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and	
Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with	
Government Auditing Standards15	í
AUDIT FINDINGS AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES SECTION	
Schedule of Audit Findings and Management's Responses	,
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings)

MEASURE E BOND BUILDING FUND OF BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Introduction and Citizens' Oversight Committee Member Listing June 30, 2011

The Beverly Hills Unified School District was unified in 1935 under the laws of the State of California and consists of an area comprising approximately 5.7 square miles. The District operates four elementary schools, one high school, and one adult school.

In November 2008, the District's voters approved by more than the required 55% favorable vote, Measure E, authorizing the issuance and sale of general obligation bonds, not to exceed \$334,000,000. The passage of Proposition 39 in November 2000 amended the California Constitution to include accountability provisions. Specifically, the District must conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed as well as an annual, independent financial audit of the proceeds from the sale of the bonds until all of the proceeds have been expended for facilities projects.

The Proposition 39 bonds were issued by the District, through the County of Los Angeles. On January 6, 2009, Election of 2008, Series 2009 of the Measure E bond authorization was issued, which consisted of current interest bonds and capital appreciation bonds with an initial par amount of \$72,044,664 with stated interest rates of 2.50% to 5.0% and maturing through August 1, 2033. As of June 30, 2011, the principal balance outstanding on the Election of 2008, Series 2009 bond was \$72,044,664.

Upon passage of Proposition 39, an accompanying piece of legislation, AB 1908 (Chapter 44, Statutes of 2000), was also enacted, which amended the Education Code to establish additional procedures which must be followed if a District seeks approval of a bond measure pursuant to the 55% majority authorized in Measure E including formation, composition and purpose of the Citizens' Oversight Committee, and authorization for injunctive relief against the improper expenditure of bond revenues.

Name	Title	Representation	Education Code Section
Woodrow Clark II	Chair	Active in a Business Organization	15282(a)(1)
Steve Hendry	Vice Chair	Parent of Child Enrolled in District and	15282(a)
		Active in a Parent-Teacher Organization	
Joe Safier	Member	Active in a Senior Citizens' Organization	15282(a)(2)
Fred Fenster	Member	Community -at- Large	15282(a)
Howard Goldstein	Member	Parent of Child Enrolled in District and	15282(a)(5)
		Active in a Parent-Teacher Organization	
Craig Davis	Member	Community -at- Large	15282(a)(5)
Shirley Zaragoza	Member	Parent of Child Enrolled in District	15282(a)(4)
Fred Jafari	Member	Active in a Bona Fide Taxpayers' Organization	15282(a)(3)
Leslie Bell	Member	Community -at- Large	15282(a)
Fran Cohen	Member	Community -at- Large	15282(a)

The Citizens' Oversight Committee had the following members as of June 30, 2011, all of whom were appointed by the District's Board of Education with one to two year terms of office:



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Governing Board Members and Measure E Citizens' Oversight Committee Beverly Hills Unified School District Beverly Hills, California

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of the Measure E Bond Building Fund of Beverly Hills Unified School District (the "District") as of June 30, 2011, and the related statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1A, the financial statements present only the individual Measure E Bond Building Fund, consisting of the net construction proceeds of Measure E general obligation bonds as issued by the District, through the County of Los Angeles, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position of the District in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Measure E Bond Building Fund of Beverly Hills Unified School District as of June 30, 2011, and the results of its operations for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

RANCHO CUCAMONGA

2727 Camino Del Rio South • Suite 219• San Diego, CA 92108 8686 Haven Avenue • Suite 250• Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 tel. 619.270.8222 • www.cwacpa.com • fax. 619.260.9085 In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 25, 2011 on our consideration of Beverly Hills Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grants agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal controls over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

In accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as incorporated in California Constitution Article 13A, we have also issued our performance audit report dated November 25, 2011 on our consideration of Beverly Hills Unified School District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39. That report is an integral part of our audit of the Measure E Bond Building Fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 and should be considered in assessing the results of our financial audit.

Christ Wite accustancy Corporation

San Diego, California November 25, 2011

FINANCIAL SECTION

MEASURE E BOND BUILDING FUND OF BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Balance Sheet June 30, 2011

ASSETS Cash in county treasury Accounts receivable	\$ 61,371,293 186,678
Total Assets	\$ 61,557,971
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities	
Accounts payable	\$ 897,452
Total Liabilities	 897,452
Fund Balance	
Unreserved	 60,660,519
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$ 61,557,971

The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

MEASURE E BOND BUILDING FUND OF BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

REVENUES	
Interest income	\$ 842,576
Total Revenues	 842,576
EXPENDITURES	
Facilities acquisition and construction	 5,701,440
Total Expenditures	 5,701,440
Net Change in Fund Balance	(4,858,864)
Fund Balance, July 1, 2010	 65,519,383
Fund Balance, June 30, 2011	\$ 60,660,519

The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. <u>Reporting Entity</u>

The Beverly Hills Unified School District (the "District") was established in 1935. The District serves approximately 4,679 students in four elementary schools (grades K-8), one high school (grades 9-12), one continuation high school and one adult school.

On November 4, 2008 the District voters authorized \$334 million in general obligation bonds (Measure E) to provide safe and modernized school facilities, make necessary structural seismic safety repairs, upgrade, repair, and reconstruct aging classrooms, infrastructure, multiuse, gyms, libraries, science, technology & labs; roofing, plumbing, heating, ventilation and electrical systems to better protect students and staff from unauthorized entry, security risks and natural disasters.

An oversight committee to the District's Governing Board and Superintendent, called the Citizens' Oversight Committee (COC), was established pursuant to the requirements of State law and the provisions of the Measure E bond. The COC is required by state law (Education Code Section 15278(b)) to "actively review and report on the proper expenditure of taxpayers' money for school construction." The COC provides oversight and advises the public whether the District is spending the Measure E Bond funds for school capital improvements within the scope of projects outlined in the Measure E Bond project list. In fulfilling its duties, the COC reviews, among other things, the District's annual performance and financial audits of Measure E activity.

The statements presented are for the individual Measure E Bond Building Fund of the District, consisting of the net construction proceeds of Election of 2008, Series 2009 general obligation bonds as issued by the District, through the County of Los Angeles, and are not intended to be a complete presentation of the District's financial position or results of operations. There are no related parties or component units included in this financial statement presentation.

B. <u>Accounting Policies</u>

The District accounts for its financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California Department of Education's *California School Accounting Manual*. The accounting policies of the District conform to generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA).

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

C. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The financial statements are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Available" means collectible within the current period or within 60 days after year-end. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred.

D. Encumbrances

Encumbrance accounting is used in all budgeted funds to reserve portions of applicable appropriations for which commitments have been made. Encumbrances are recorded for purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments when they are written. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid.

E. Deposits and Investments

In accordance with Education Code Sections 15357 and 41001, the District maintains a portion of its cash in the Los Angeles County Treasury. The county pools these funds with those of other districts in the county and invests the cash. These pooled funds are carried at cost, which approximates market value. Interest earned is deposited quarterly into participating funds. Any investment losses are proportionately shared by all funds in the pool.

F. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

G. Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for all government funds. By state law, the District's governing board must adopt a budget no later than July 1. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements.

These budgets are revised by the District's governing board during the year to give consideration to unanticipated income and expenditures. Formal budgetary integration was employed as a management control device during the year for all budgeted funds. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Summary of Cash and Investments

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2011 are classified in the accompanying financial statements as cash in county treasury for \$61,371,293.

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instruments; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security; and collateralized mortgage obligations. Investments of debt proceeds held by trustees are governed by the provisions of debt agreements rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code. These provisions allow for the acquisition of investment agreements with maturities up to 30 years.

Cash in County Treasury – The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (Education Code Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

General Authorizations

Except for investments by trustees of debt proceeds, the authority to invest District funds deposited with the county treasury is delegated to the County Treasurer and Tax Collector. The table below identifies examples of the investment types permitted in the investment policy:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are described below:

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the County Treasury. The District maintains an investment with the Los Angeles County Investment Pool with a fair value of approximately \$61,397,269 and an amortized book value of \$61,371,293. The weighted average maturity for this pool as of June 30, 2011 was 600 days.

NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

<u>Credit Risk</u>

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Investments within the Los Angeles County Investment Pool are rated at least A by Moody's Investors Service.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The investment policy of the District contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond the amount stipulated by the California Government Code. District investments that are greater than 5 percent of total investments are in either an external investment pool or mutual funds and are therefore exempt.

NOTE 3 – ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable amounting to \$186,678 as of June 30, 2011 consists of interest earned on the District's investment in the County Treasury.

NOTE 4 – CONSTRUCTION COMMITMENTS

The Measure E Bond Building Fund had no construction commitments as of June 30, 2011.

NOTE 5 – MEASURE E GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

As of June 30, 2011, the principal balance outstanding on the District's Measure E general obligation bonds is indicated as follows:

				Amount				
	Date of	Interest	Maturity	of Original	Outstanding			Outstanding
	Issue	Rate %	Date	Issue	July 1, 2010	Additions	Deletions	June 30, 2011
Series 2009	1/6/2009	2.50% - 5.00%	8/1/2033	\$ 72,044,664	\$ 72,044,664	\$ 5,892,380	\$ -	\$ 77,937,044

NOTE 5 - MEASURE E GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (continued)

Election of 2008, Series 2009

On January 6, 2009, Series 2009 bonds of the Measure E bond authorization were issued, which consisted of current interest and capital appreciation bonds with an initial par amount of \$72,044,664, with stated interest rates of 2.50% to 5.0% and maturing through August 1, 2033.

The annual requirements to amortize all Measure E general obligation bonds payable outstanding as of June 30, 2011, are as follows:

Year Ended June 30,	 Principal*	 Interest		Total
2012	\$ -	\$ 534,463	\$	534,463
2013	-	534,463		534,463
2014	-	534,463		534,463
2015	350,000	534,463		884,463
2016	760,000	525,713		1,285,713
2017-2021	11,350,000	1,889,876		13,239,876
2022-2026	14,362,974	21,537,026		35,900,000
2027-2031	26,025,640	58,974,360		85,000,000
2032-2034	 19,196,050	 63,803,950		83,000,000
Total	\$ 72,044,664	\$ 148,868,777	\$	220,913,441

* Principal excludes \$5,892,380 of accreted interest as of June 30, 2011.

NOTE 6 – MEASURE E EXPENDITURES BY MAJOR OBJECT

The following table presents the expenditure amounts by major object for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011:

Expenditures by Object	Amount	Percent
Classified salaries	\$ 259,813	4.6%
Employee benefits	80,475	1.4%
Books and supplies	25,362	0.4%
Services and other operating expenditures	3,891,043	68.2%
Capital outlay	1,444,747	25.3%
Total	\$ 5,701,440	100.0%

NOTE 7 – MEASURE E EXPENDITURES BY PROJECT

The following table presents the expenditure amounts by project for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011:

School Site		Amount	Percent
Beverly Vista School	\$	338,292	5.9%
El Rodeo School		395,902	6.9%
Hawthorne Elementary School		313,841	5.5%
Horace Mann School		373,724	6.6%
Beverly Hills High School		22,882	0.4%
Facilities and planning		1,516,340	26.6%
Legal fees*		2,699,942	47.4%
Program administration		40,517	0.7%
Total		5,701,440	100.0%

* Legal fees are related to litigation against Strategic Concepts and legal matters related to the MTA's proposal impacting Beverly Hills High School.

NOTE 8 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

During the 2010-11 fiscal year, the District was involved in litigation related to the Measure E bond program. On August 28, 2009 and September 3, 2009, the District filed a Complaint of Damages against Strategic Concepts, LLC, the Measure E Bond project management consultant, as well as a Notice of Related Cases for purpose of California Rules of Court. The District is seeking to recover \$4 million in fees paid to Strategic Concepts, LLC. Strategic Concepts, LLC has filed a countersuit against the District to recoup \$16 million related to their contract with the District.

As of June 30, 2011, no provision has been made for the litigation in the Measure E Bond Building Fund as the outcome of the litigation is unknown. The litigation is currently pending.

NOTE 9 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events were evaluated for the period from June 20, 2011 through November 25, 2011, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Management identified a negotiated settlement with a vendor that performed construction work for the District. The settlement gave to the District \$5 million in cash plus equipment credits. A determination of how much of the settlement amount, if any, will be credited to Measure E is pending.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SECTION

MEASURE E BOND BUILDING FUND OF BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report with Audited Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

	Measure E Bond Building Fund	
June 30, 2011, annual financial and budget report		
(SACS) fund balances	\$	60,493,684
Adjustments and reclassifications:		
To reduce accounts payable accrual for legal fees due to		
mediation and vendor negotiations completed after year end		
closed.		166,835
June 30, 2011, audited financial statement fund balance	\$	60,660,519

OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Governing Board Members and Measure E Citizens' Oversight Committee Beverly Hills Unified School District Beverly Hills, California

We have audited the financial statements of the Measure E Bond Building Fund of Beverly Hills Unified School District (the "District") as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated November 25, 2011. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Beverly Hills Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Beverly Hills Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Beverly Hills Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying schedule of audit findings and management's responses, as #2011-1 and #2011-2 Financial Audit Findings, that we consider to be significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

SAN DIEGO

RANCHO CUCAMONGA

15

2727 Camino Del Rio South • Suite 219• San Diego, CA 92108 8686 Haven Avenue • Suite 250• Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 tel. 619.270.8222 • www.cwacpa.com • fax. 619.260.9085

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Measure E Bond Building Fund of Beverly Hills Unified School District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Beverly Hills Unified School District's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of audit findings and management's responses. We did not audit Beverly Hills Unified School District's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the District's Governing Board, the Measure E Citizens' Oversight Committee, management, others within the entity, and the taxpayers of Beverly Hills Unified School District, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Christ Wite Accountancy Corporation

San Diego, California November 25, 2011

AUDIT FINDINGS AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES SECTION

MEASURE E BOND BUILDING FUND OF BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Schedule of Audit Findings and Management's Responses For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

#2011-1 Financial Audit Finding: Expenditure Internal Controls

We identified the following areas for improving the District's internal controls over Measure E Bond Program expenditures:

- 26 of 91 expenditures tested in fiscal year 2010-11 had a purchase order dated following the invoice date which indicates a potential for lack of advance approval. However, we found all were board approved and most had contracts in place prior to the date of service.
- 5 of 91 expenditures tested were miscoded to a district office charge code when they should have been coded to a site. The expenditures were allowable Measure E costs, the location code for the work performed was incorrect.
- 1 of 91 expenditures tested in fiscal year 2010-11 had no purchase order in place.
- 1 of 6 professional services contracts tested for \$12,150 lacked sufficient billing detail to enable analysis of professional services rates charged to the district.

Recommendation: We recommend that purchase orders be created prior to Measure E expenditures to document authorization for expenditures and to properly encumber funds. All professional services invoices should include sufficient detail to enable district reviewers to reconcile any billing rates charged to the related contract. Additionally, we recommend that the District code site-focused expenditures, such as construction management expenditures to the applicable sites, when possible, to improve the accountability of expenditures to specific projects.

We found all four instances of the above noted deficiencies in internal controls over expenditures as a result of our testing during interim (in March, 2011) which encapsulated selected expenditures from July, 2010 through mid-March, 2011. We conducted follow-up procedures with a sample of 25 expenditures pulled from the final four months of the 2010-11 fiscal year (March, 2011 through June, 2011) following our interim testing to determine the implementation status of our recommendations from interim. The expanded sample included invoices related to construction and professional services activities. In this expanded sample, we noted zero exceptions, indicating that recommendations from interim have been successfully implemented. To reflect the mid-year internal control progress, we indicate that the status of Finding #2010-1 in the schedule of prior year findings status is "implemented during March, 2011."

Management's Response: In March, the district established a defined accounting system per project to adhere to the Standardized Account Code Structure and to define the object classifications even further by type of expense. With our improved system we developed a detailed budget, which is used to create a check and balance between financial and projected project expenditures. Purchase orders were created to track the total cost of the board approved contracts as part of this process.

MEASURE E BOND BUILDING FUND OF BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Schedule of Audit Findings and Management's Responses For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

#2011-2 Financial Audit Finding: Expenditure Itemized Detail

We identified that 1 of 91 expenditures contained itemized detail of services performed which could not be reconciled with the amount billed which could have resulted in an overpayment. The discrepancy was corrected subsequent to our audit and we examined five additional invoices from the vendor to assess the extent of the concern, noting no additional exceptions. Our concern is that bills should be scrutinized more closely prior to payment to avoid overpayments.

Recommendation: We recommend that the District improve its review process to better ensure the accuracy and reasonableness of all funds expended out of the bond program.

Management's Response: The District's internal review of invoices has allowed for correct payment based on verbal discussions. To make sure that all adjustments match the actual invoice, the district will require a revised invoice and pay from the new one. In addition, consultants will provide more daily detail and then back it up with a summary coversheet.

MEASURE E BOND BUILDING FUND OF BEVERLY HILLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011

Finding Number	Description	Current Status
#2010-1 Financial Audit Finding	Expenditure Internal Controls	Implemented during March 2011. See #2011-1 Performance Audit Finding.
	We identified internal control deficiencies and areas for improvement regarding expenditures of Measure E funds including purchase orders dated after the applicable invoice date, expenditures with no purchase order in place, significant amounts of construction change orders and questionable payments to vendor Strategic Concepts.	
#2010-2 Financial Audit Finding	Interfund Borrowing of Measure E Bond Proceeds	Implemented.
	District interfund borrowing of Measure E bond funds was not in compliance with Education Code Section 42603.	